

NYT Jan 3 58
The Venezuelan Army, however, was not behind the revolt and this was considered to be the deciding factor. The uprising apparently was engi-

Continued on Page 6, Column 5

venue expected as a result of the elimination this year of the compulsory state loan and partial elimination of the so-called childless tax.

The bare announcements of both shifts and the few facts known to outsiders permit little more than speculation. This is the relevant background:

Marshal Rokossovsky was one of the Soviet heroes of World War II. After the war he became Poland's Defense Minister and a member of the Polish Communist Central Committee.

Leaving the McElroy confi that the defor be about \$4 \$2,000,000,000 military spend It was also the total budg Continued on

'WHO SEES IT' HELD TEST OF OBSCENITY

U. S. Drops 7-Year Fight to Bar Kinsey Imports

By ANTHONY LEWIS

Special to The New York Times.

WASHINGTON, Jan. 2—The Government, accepting a new legal standard for obscenity, decided today to let the Kinsey Institute import some concededly pornographic pictures, books and other objects.

The new standard holds that the material is not legally obscene because it is unlikely to arouse the "prurient interest" of those who will see it. Until now the official position had been that a thing is obscene in itself, no matter who is going to read it or see it.

U. S. Will Not Appeal

The material involved had been seized by the Customs Bureau over the last seven years on its way to Indiana University's Institute for Sex Research, Inc. The institute was founded by the late Dr. Alfred C. Kinsey.

Last Nov. 1, in New York, Federal District Judge Edmund L. Palmieri rejected a Government suit to have the material destroyed. He laid down the rule that an object is not legally obscene if the person importing it has a genuine scientific purpose.

Judge Palmieri held that the institute was entitled to have the impounded material. His decision was stayed while the

childless tax will no longer be levied on couples having only one or two children and on childless single women or widows. The exemption of these groups, which made up almost 85 per cent of the payers of this tax, will represent a loss in state revenue of 6,000,-000,000 rubles (\$1,500,000,000 at the official rate and \$600,-000,000 at the tourist rate).

The higher prices imposed by the Soviet Ministry of Trade on vodka and wine should help make up that loss. The Communist party newspaper Pravda

Continued on Page 4 Column 5

Continued on Page 4 Column 8

Navy Proposes Atomic Seaplane As First U. S. Nuclear Aircraft

By JOHN W. FINNEY

Special to The New York Times.

WASHINGTON, Jan. 2—The Navy is proposing that this nation's first nuclear-powered airplane be a seaplane.

The Navy proposal was outlined today at a high level meeting in the office of Donald A. Quarles, Deputy Secretary of Defense.

The meeting was called to discuss plans for nuclear-powered planes and whether to accelerate the development schedule by placing an atomic power plant in an existing airplane.

The participants included high officials of the Navy, the Air Force and the Atomic Energy Commission. No final decision was reached, it was understood.

Officials who attended the meeting said that about the only agreement reached was to hold another meeting soon. On all sides, however, there is hope

that a decision will be reached shortly establishing a firm plan for flying the first nuclear-powered plane.

WISCONSIN FARMERS

BUDGETIVE REUSS
\$3,000 Payments
for Individual Farms

By WILLIAM REUSS

WISCONSIN STATE JOURNAL

Madison, Wis.—The

Wisconsin Department of

Agriculture has

set aside \$3,000,000

for individual farms

to help them meet

the Department of Agri-

culture's budgetary

problems.

There was no limit on

payments to individual

farmers recently,

but that sixty-seven producers

have been paid more than \$100

each for leaving land idle.

The top payment was \$275,000

to a farm corporation in Kansas.

Mr. Reuss disputed the

Department's interpretation of

\$3,000 ceiling written into the

agriculture appropriation bill

last year.

The department, backed by

the Controller General, inter-

preted the provision as placing

authorized payment up to \$3,000

on each farm owned by a

producer. Thus a producer

with three farms, as Mr. Reuss

said in his suit, could collect up to

\$3,000 on each farm for his

crops.

"Piecemeal" Acts Scored

In another development, Sena-

ator Allen J. Ellender an-

nounced his opposition to "piecemeal"

farm legislation. He called

on commodity groups, as he

did last year, to present a uni-

fied front to draft a comprehen-

sive farm bill.

The Louisiana Democrat, who

heads the Senate Agriculture

Committee, thus appeared to

rule out efforts by some farm

interests to get early consider-

ation of special legislation.

Dairy interests have announced

their intention to seek an in-

crease in price supports on

their products. Secretary Ezra

Tait Benson recently slapped

dairy prices to the lowest level

possible under law.

Senator Ellender said he re-

garded farm policy as the most

important domestic issue from

a political standpoint. He

ranked it second only to defense.

Asking an injunction, Mr.

Reuss contended that Mr. Ben-

son had exceeded his authority.

Unless he is restrained, the

legislator warned, Mr. Benson

will "frustrate the intention of

the people to have a better life."

Mr. Benson's suit was filed

in the U. S. District Court at

Washington, D. C., on June 11.

Mr. Benson's suit was filed

in the U. S. District Court at

Washington, D. C., on June 11.

Mr. Benson's suit was filed

in the U. S. District Court at

Washington, D. C., on June 11.

Mr. Benson's suit was filed

in the U. S. District Court at

Washington, D. C., on June 11.

Mr. Benson's suit was filed

in the U. S. District Court at

Washington, D. C., on June 11.

Mr. Benson's suit was filed

in the U. S. District Court at

Washington, D. C., on June 11.

Mr. Benson's suit was filed

in the U. S. District Court at

Washington, D. C., on June 11.

Mr. Benson's suit was filed

in the U. S. District Court at

Washington, D. C., on June 11.

Mr. Benson's suit was filed

in the U. S. District Court at

Washington, D. C., on June 11.

Mr. Benson's suit was filed

in the U. S. District Court at

Washington, D. C., on June 11.

Mr. Benson's suit was filed

in the U. S. District Court at

Washington, D. C., on June 11.

Mr. Benson's suit was filed

in the U. S. District Court at

Washington, D. C., on June 11.

Mr. Benson's suit was filed

in the U. S. District Court at

Washington, D. C., on June 11.

Mr. Benson's suit was filed

in the U. S. District Court at

Washington, D. C., on June 11.

Mr. Benson's suit was filed

in the U. S. District Court at

Washington, D. C., on June 11.

Mr. Benson's suit was filed

in the U. S. District Court at

Washington, D. C., on June 11.

Mr. Benson's suit was filed

in the U. S. District Court at

Washington, D. C., on June 11.

Mr. Benson's suit was filed

in the U. S. District Court at

Washington, D. C., on June 11.

Mr. Benson's suit was filed

in the U. S. District Court at

Washington, D. C., on June 11.

Mr. Benson's suit was filed

in the U. S. District Court at

Washington, D. C., on June 11.

Mr. Benson's suit was filed

in the U. S. District Court at

Washington, D. C., on June 11.

Mr. Benson's suit was filed

in the U. S. District Court at

Washington, D. C., on June 11.

Mr. Benson's suit was filed

in the U. S. District Court at

Washington, D. C., on June 11.

Mr. Benson's suit was filed

in the U. S. District Court at

Washington, D. C., on June 11.

Mr. Benson's suit was filed

in the U. S. District Court at

Washington, D. C., on June 11.

Mr. Benson's suit was filed

in the U. S. District Court at

Washington, D. C., on June 11.

Mr. Benson's suit was filed

in the U. S. District Court at

Washington, D. C., on June 11.

Mr. Benson's suit was filed

in the U. S. District Court at

Washington, D. C., on June 11.

Mr. Benson's suit was filed

in the U. S. District Court at

Washington, D. C., on June 11.

Mr. Benson's suit was filed

in the U. S. District Court at

Washington, D. C., on June 11.

Mr. Benson's suit was filed

in the U. S. District Court at

Washington, D. C., on June 11.

Mr. Benson's suit was filed

in the U. S. District Court at

Washington, D. C., on June 11.

Mr. Benson's suit was filed

in the U. S. District Court at

Washington, D. C., on June 11.

Mr. Benson's suit was filed

in the U. S. District Court at

Washington, D. C., on June 11.

Mr. Benson's suit was filed

in the U. S. District Court at

Washington, D. C., on June 11.

Mr. Benson's suit was filed

in the U. S. District Court at

Washington, D. C., on June 11.

Mr. Benson's suit was filed

in the U. S. District Court at

Washington, D. C., on June 11.

Mr. Benson's suit was filed

in the U. S. District Court at

Washington, D. C., on June 11.

Mr. Benson's suit was filed

in the U. S. District Court at

Washington, D. C., on June 11.

Mr. Benson's suit was filed

in the U. S. District Court at

Washington, D. C., on June 11.

Mr. Benson's suit was filed

in the U. S. District Court at

Washington, D. C., on June 11.

Mr. Benson's suit was filed

in the U. S. District Court at

Washington, D. C., on June 11.

Mr. Benson's suit was filed

in the U. S. District Court at

Washington, D. C., on June 11.

Mr. Benson's suit was filed

in the U. S. District Court at

Washington, D. C., on June 11.

Mr. Benson's suit was filed

in the U. S. District Court at

Washington, D. C., on June 11.

Mr. Benson's suit was filed

in the U. S. District Court at

Washington, D. C., on June 11.

Mr. Benson's suit was filed

in the U. S. District Court at

Washington, D. C., on June 11.

Mr. Benson's suit was filed

in the U. S. District Court at

Washington, D. C., on June 11.

Mr. Benson's suit was filed

in the U. S. District Court at

Washington, D. C., on June 11.

Mr. Benson's suit was filed

in the U. S. District Court at

Washington, D. C., on June 11.

Mr. Benson's suit was filed

in the U. S. District Court at

Washington, D. C., on June 11.

Mr. Benson's suit was filed

in the U. S. District Court at

Washington, D. C., on June 11.

Mr. Benson's suit was filed

in the U. S. District Court at

Washington, D. C., on June 11.

Mr. Benson's suit was filed

in the U. S. District Court at

Washington, D. C., on June 11.

Mr. Benson's suit was filed

in the U. S. District Court at

Washington, D. C., on June 11.

Mr. Benson's suit was filed

in the U. S. District Court at

Washington, D. C., on June 11.

Mr. Benson's suit was filed

in the U. S. District Court at

Washington, D. C., on June 11.

Mr. Benson's suit was filed

in the U. S. District Court at

Washington, D. C., on June 11.

Mr. Benson's suit was filed

in the U. S. District Court at

Washington, D. C., on June 11.

Mr. Benson's suit was filed

in the U. S. District Court at

Washington, D. C., on June 11.

Mr. Benson's suit was filed

in the U. S. District Court at

Washington, D. C., on June 11.

Mr. Benson's suit was filed

in the U. S. District Court at

Washington, D. C., on June 11.

Mr. Benson's suit was filed

in the U. S. District Court at

Washington, D. C., on June 11.

Mr. Benson's suit was filed

in the U. S. District Court at

Washington, D. C., on June 11.

Mr. Benson's suit was filed

in the U. S. District Court at

Washington, D. C., on June 11.

Mr. Benson's suit was filed

in the U. S. District Court at

Washington, D. C., on June 11.

Mr. Benson's suit was filed

in the U. S. District Court at

Washington, D. C., on June 11.

Mr. Benson's suit was filed

in the U. S. District Court at

Washington, D. C., on June 11.

Mr. Benson's suit was filed

in the U. S. District Court at

Washington, D. C., on June 11.

Mr. Benson's